

Prairie View Outreach School

Annual Education Results Report 2021-22



About Us

Prairie View Outreach is located in the town of High Prairie in northern Alberta within Big Lakes County. It is located at the junction of Highway 2 and Highway 749, approximately 371 kilometres northwest of Edmonton and 118 kilometres west of Slave Lake.

Our school offers an alternative learning environment to a student population of approximately 70 students in grades 7 to 12. Programming is individualized, flexible, and offered within a small, personal setting. The community offers a wide variety of amenities and our school takes advantage of the access we have to those amenities including an indoor pool, curling rink, and skating rink. This is in addition to facilities located in other schools operated by High Prairie School Division.

Principal's Message

Our students come to us from the Town of High Prairie, the surrounding agricultural community as well as several Metis Settlements and First Nations communities (East Prairie, Peavine, Gift Lake, Sucker Creek, Driftpile and Kapawe'no).

Some of our students attend in-person full time, others attend several times per week and some work almost entirely off-site, coming to school occasionally for teacher support.

The community offers a wide variety of amenities and our school takes advantage of the access we have to those amenities including an indoor pool, curling rink, bowling alley, ski hill and skating rink.

At Prairie View Outreach School:

- We provide secondary students from grades 9-12 an alternative education model offered within a small, personal setting that allows for flexible, individual pathways to success. We provide a welcoming environment that serves students for whom mainstream environments have been less successful, and also for students who choose to work in an alternate environment because of personal circumstances. Prairie View Outreach also provides a degree of programming flexibility for students who are enrolled at E. W. Pratt High School as their primary school.
- We support students in unlocking their personal potential and finding direction in life.

 We measure success on an individual basis - each student has his/her own personal goals that we support them in attaining.

Prairie View Outreach has a teaching staff of 1.5 FTEs, a .6 FTE principal, one FTE administrative assistant and 1 FTE educational assistant. We are additionally supported by a Learning Support Teacher we share with E.W. Pratt High School, and an Indigenous Education Coach and a Career Coach also shared with other schools.

Our teachers deliver a full complement of junior high and high school core courses to students using a Google Classroom delivery system. Off-site students may also request Google Meetings with teachers as the need arises. In addition to these core courses, teachers also include Locally Developed Courses, CTS courses, Off-Campus Education courses, and Phys Ed. in the range of courses they teach and assess. Our students have access to Dual Credit courses as well. Most weeks, we ensure daily phys. ed. programming that spans a range of activities including yoga, skating, swimming, basketball, softball, and disc golf.

With the support of our Indigenous Education Coach, we are committed to making education for reconciliation a primary focus of what we do. We draw on the traditional knowledge and wisdom of Indigenous Elders and knowledge keepers to lead us in learning about Indigenous history, perspectives, culture and world view through land-based learning activities and field trips, cultural celebrations and practises, storytelling and direct instruction. Wherever possible, we align teachings with specific courses to allow students to achieve high school credits for their work (ribbon skirt sewing - CTS Fashion, for example).

Prairie View Outreach does not presently have a School Council to express views on this AERR.

Staff List

Christine Cardinal Educational Assistant

Eathel Carmichael Teacher
Valene Fisher Secretary
Gary Lewis Teacher

Rebecca Ning Vice Principal

Etta Viens Principal

Spring 2022 Required Alberta Education Assurance Measures - Overall Summary

opinig 1011 itoqu		Prairie	View Ou School	treach		Alberta		M	easure Evaluatio	n
Assurance Domain	Measure	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Year Average	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Year Average	Achievement	Improvement	Overall
	Student Learning Engagement	79.0	73.8	n/a	85.1	85.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Citizenship	76.5	78.5	72.6	81.4	83.2	83.1	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable
	3-year High School Completion	0.0	0.0	3.4	83.2	83.4	81.1	Very Low	Maintained	Concern
Student Growth and Achievement	5-year High School Completion	20.2	9.5	9.4	87.1	86.2	85.6	Very Low	Maintained	Concern
	PAT: Acceptable	n/a	n/a	25.0	n/a	n/a	73.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PAT: Excellence	n/a	n/a	10.7	n/a	n/a	20.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Diploma: Acceptable	n/a	n/a	53.8	n/a	n/a	83.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Diploma: Excellence	n/a	n/a	7.7	n/a	n/a	24.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Teaching & Leading	Education Quality	90.2	87.9	86.0	89.0	89.6	90.3	Very High	Maintained	Excellent
Learning Supports	Welcoming, Caring, Respectful and Safe Learning Environments (WCRSLE)	86.3	82.0	n/a	86.1	87.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5 .,	Access to Supports and Services	91.7	89.4	n/a	81.6	82.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Governance	Parental Involvement	n/a	n/a	78.3	78.8	79.5	81.5	n/a	n/a	n/a

Spring 2022 Required Alberta Education Assurance Measures - Overall First Nation, Métis, and Inuit Summary

	Trequired Albe			ch School		lberta (FNI			asure Evaluation	
Assurance Domain	Measure	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Year Average	Current Result	Prev Year Result	Prev 3 Year Average	Achievement	Improvement	Overall
	Student Learning Engagement	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Citizenship	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	3-year High School Completion	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.5	62.0	58.4	Very Low	Maintained	Concern
Student Growth and Achievement	5-year High School Completion	12.6	11.8	10.8	68.0	68.1	65.8	Very Low	Maintained	Concern
	PAT: Acceptable	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
	PAT: Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Diploma: Acceptable	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Diploma: Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Teaching & Leading	Education Quality	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Learning Supports	Welcoming, Caring, Respectful and Safe Learning Environments (WCRSLE)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Access to Supports and Services	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Governance	Parental Involvement	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Notes:

- 1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
- 2. Participation in the 2019/20 and 2020/21 Diploma Exams was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. In the absence of Diploma Exams, achievement level of diploma courses were determined solely by school-awarded marks. Caution should be used when interpreting high school completion rate results over time.
- 3. The "N/A" placeholder for the "Current Result" for PAT and Diploma Exam measures are included until results can be updated in the Fall.
- 4. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Français (6e et 9e année), Mathematics (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Science (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Social Studies (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE).
- 5. Participation in the Provincial Achievement Tests and Diploma Exams was impacted by the fires in 2016 and 2019, as well as by the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 and 2021. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
- 6. Aggregated Diploma results are a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence) on Diploma Examinations. The weights are the number of students writing the Diploma Examination for each course. Courses included: English Language Arts 30-1, English Language Arts 30-2, French Language Arts 30-1, Français 30-1, Mathematics 30-1, Mathematics 30-2, Chemistry 30, Physics 30, Biology 30, Science 30, Social Studies 30-1, Social Studies 30-2.

DOMAIN 1: STUDENT GROWTH AND ACHIEVEMENT

High School Completion Rate

Hi	High School Completion Rate - percentages of students who completed high school within three, four and five years of entering Grade 10. School Authority Province																																	
						Sc	hool												Auth	ority									Provi	nce				
		20	017	2	018	2	019	2	020	2	021	Meas	sure Evaluation	1	20)17	20	018	20	19	20	20	20	21	201	7	201	8	201	9	202	:0	202	21
		Z	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%
3 Y	ear Completion	7	14.8	10	0.0	10	10.1	12	0.0	16	0.0	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	248	63.0	260	64.4	252	60.1	246	60.8	263	61.3	44,982	78.7	44,978	79.7	45,354	80.3	46,245	83.4	47,675	83.2
4 Y	ear Completion	13	0.0	8	12.8	10	10.5	11	9.2	13	7.9	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	284	68.5	249	69.3	261	70.8	250	69.1	246	66.4	44,841	83.0	44,994	83.3	44,980	84.0	45,351	85.0	46,242	87.1
5 Y	ear Completion	10	40.2	14	7.3	9	11.4	11	9.5	10	20.2	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	249	73.9	283	71.5	249	71.1	265	73.0	250	70.8	43,736	83.8	44,842	85.2	44,988	85.3	44,972	86.2	45,344	87.1

First Nation, Métis, and Inuit High School Completion Rate

			Prairie	e View	Outre	each Sc	hool	(FNMI)										Alberta	(FNMI)				
	2	017	2	018	2	019	2	020	20	021	Me	asure Evaluation		20	17	20	18	20	19	202	20	202	21
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
3 Year Completion	7	14.8	9	0.0	8	0.0	9	0.0	13	0.0	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	3,599	53.8	3,632	57.1	3,750	55.9	3,814	62.0	3,972	59.5
4 Year Completion	11	0.0	8	12.8	9	11.7	9	0.0	10	0.0	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	3,318	60.1	3,453	60.8	3,524	64.2	3,670	63.6	3,729	68.6
5 Year Completion	9	44.7	13	7.9	8	12.8	9	11.8	8	12.6	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	3,199	60.6	3,266	64.5	3,407	65.0	3,469	68.1	3,593	68.0

Comments on Results

As an outreach school, we do not feel we can do justice to 30-level courses and send those students to a regular school for 30-level core subjects.

Citizenship

Percen	tag	je c	of te	ach	ners	, pa	aren	ts a	nd s	stuc	dents v	who are satis	fied that stud	lents mode	l the	chara	acter	istics	s of ac	tive	citize	enshi	p.											
	School																		Autho	rity									Provir	ice				
	2	201	8	20	19	20	020	2	021		2022	Mea	sure Evaluatio	n	20	18	20	19	202	20	20	21	20	22	2018	3	2019	9	202	0	202	1	202	2
	N	1 9	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	.	٧ %	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	34	4 78	8.1	31 6	69.8	33	75.3	22	78.	5 2	4 76.5	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	1,178	77.4	944	73.2	1,084	78.3	876	80.3	993	77.8	253,727	83.0	265,614	82.9	264,413	83.3	230,843	83.2	249,770	81.4
Parent	14	4 7	7.1	3	*	12	67.8	2	*	1	1 *	*	*	*	251	73.1	157	69.1	177	73.0	89	71.0	95	73.6	35,482	81.7	35,247	81.9	36,891	82.4	30,905	81.4	31,689	80.4
Student	20	79	9.0	31 6	69.8	21	82.9	22	78.	5 2	4 76.5	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	741	71.5	620	64.4	714	72.0	605	76.3	721	69.6	185,623	73.9	197,090	73.5	193,577	73.8	169,741	74.1	187,120	72.1
Teacher	4		*	3	*	4	*	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	186	87.6	167	86.0	193	90.0	182	93.4	177	90.3	32,622	93.4	33,277	93.2	33,945	93.6	30,197	94.1	30,961	91.7

Comments on Results

Our students participate in a wide variety of activities in the community, giving them opportunities to practice commendable behaviour and to learn socially acceptable interpersonal skills.

Student Learning Engagement

The perc	enta	ge o	f tea	cher	s, pa	aren	ts a	nd stu	ıder	its wh	o agree that st	udents are eng	aged in t	heir	lear	ning	at s	choc	ol.														
	School																	Au	thori	ty									Pr	ovince			
	20)18	20	19	20	20	2	021	2	022	Meas	sure Evaluation		20	18	20	19	20	20	20	21	20	22	20	18	20	19	20	20	2021		2022	2
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	Z	%	Z	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	z	%	Z	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	73.8	24	79.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	877	83.1	993	85.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	230,956	85.6	249,740	85.1
Parent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	2	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90	79.2	96	90.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,994	89.0	31,694	88.7
Student	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	73.8	24	79.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	605	74.1	720	71.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	169,789	71.8	187,102	71.3
Teacher	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	182	96.1	177	94.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,173	96.0	30,944	95.5

Comments on Results

We noticed an improvement in this category. We have seen an increase in community partnership and have worked on building relationships with business owners and community members.

Drop Out Rate

Drop Out Ra	ite	- anı	nua	l dro	pou	t rate	e of	stude	ents	aged	I 14 to 18																						
					S	chool												Auth	nority									Provin	се				
	2	017	20	018	20	019	2	020	2	021	Meas	sure Evaluation	n	20	17	20)18	20	19	202	20	202	21	2017	7	2018	3	2019)	2020)	202	1
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Achievemen t	Improvemen t	Overall	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Z	%	N	%
Drop Out Rate	4 7	31. 1	2 9	36. 3	35	36.7	72	14.2	66	28.1	Very Low	Maintained	Concer n	1,05 8	5.5	97 7	6.2	1,01 5	6.3	1,05 0	5.5	1,00 1	8.3	182,06 2	2.3	182,83 2	2.6	184,81 2	2.7	186,22 8	2.6	189,71 3	2.3
Returning Rate	6	34. 9	1 6	7.1	11	19.4	13	15.7	11	9.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	58	25. 0	67	25. 0	66	13. 5	69	15. 8	62	19. 0	7,626	19. 9	6,800	22. 7	6,750	18. 2	6,720	18. 1	6,408	17. 3

Comments on Results

The outreach model doesn't fit everyone and doesn't lend itself to responsive teaching of Grade 12 core subjects. We do not feel we can do justice to 30-level courses and send those students to a regular school for 30-level core subjects. We see the need for respecting entry guidelines by interviewing candidates and their parents, establishing they meet aptitude requirements for an outreach program. We also recognize a fear culture wherein students are afraid to come into a school building, with high anxiety after being in isolation whereby students find it hard to be around people.

Program of Studies

Percentage of teachers, parents and students satisfied with the opportunity for students to receive a broad program of studies including fine arts, career, technology, and health and physical education.

					Sc	hool												Auth	ority									Provin	се				
	2018 2019 2020 2021 2022						021	022	Mea	asure Evaluatio	n	20	18	20	19	20	20	20	21	20)22	2018	3	2019	9	2020)	202	1	202	2		
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Overall	34	64.1	31	60.7	34	60.5	22	53.1	24	72.1	Low	Maintained	Issue	858	84.1	647	78.5	825	82.1	594	80.5	694	82.4	175,907	81.8	181,846	82.2	184,393	82.4	157,680	81.9	172,339	82.9
Parent	14	60.0	3	*	12	53.7	2	*	1	*	*	*	*	252	83.6	157	77.0	177	77.8	90	78.6	95	81.5	35,489	79.9	35,252	80.1	36,901	80.1	30,817	81.7	31,625	82.4
Student	20	68.1	31	60.7	22	67.2	22	53.1	24	72.1	Intermediate	Maintained	Acceptable	420	80.2	323	71.1	455	80.0	322	76.2	422	77.8	107,780	77.2	113,304	77.4	113,541	77.8	96,676	74.9	109,776	76.9
Teacher	4	*	3	*	4	*	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	186	88.6	167	87.3	193	88.6	182	86.8	177	87.9	32,638	88.4	33,290	89.1	33,951	89.3	30,187	89.2	30,938	89.3

Comments on Results

We intentionally will be offering more blended programs to meet the long-term needs of students. Our school was severely affected by the cancellation of the joint use agreement—we feel we shouldn't have to sell chocolates to fund the p.e. program since there is no gym in our building, and local facilities are very expensive. We can offer cooking on a regular basis.

Rutherford Eligibility Rate

Percentage of Grade 12	student	s elig	ible	for a	Ruth	nerfo	ord Sc	holarship.																						
			S	School											Auth	ority									Provir	nce				
	2017 2	2018	20	019	2020	- 1	2021	Meas	sure Evaluation	า	20	17	20	18	20	19	20)20	20	21	201	7	201	8	201	9	202	0	202	.1
	N % N	%	Ζ	%	N %	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate	5 * 14	50.0	38	39.5	31 22	.6 31	29.0	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	306	51.6	355	50.1	368	48.9	307	45.9	305	48.5	60,127	63.4	60,559	64.8	58,970	66.6	59,357	68.0	58,631	70.2

Comments on Results

High end courses are not available except online, a format which doesn't meet the needs of many of our Grade 12 students.

Safe and Caring

Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school.

					Sc	hool												Autho	rity									Provin	ice				
	2018 2019 2020					2	021	2	022	Meas	sure Evaluation	1	20	18	20	19	20	20	20	21	20	22	2018	3	2019	9	2020)	202	1	202	2	
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Z	%
Overall	34	95.5	31	91.3	34	86.5	22	88.0	24	91.5	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	1,178	86.8	944	84.1	1,083	86.5	877	87.3	994	87.4	253,494	89.0	265,382	89.0	264,204	89.4	230,987	90.0	249,835	88.8
Parent	14	97.1	3	*	12	80.4	2	*	2	*	*	*	*	252	85.1	157	82.1	177	83.6	90	81.6	96	86.8	35,486	89.4	35,247	89.7	36,899	90.2	30,969	90.5	31,707	89.5
Student	20	93.9	31	91.3	22	92.7	22	88.0	24	91.5	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	740	81.4	620	77.3	713	80.7	605	83.5	721	79.6	185,384	82.5	196,856	82.3	193,364	82.6	169,813	84.0	187,165	82.5
Teacher	4	*	3	*	4	*	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	186	93.9	167	92.9	193	95.1	182	96.7	177	95.7	32,624	95.0	33,279	95.1	33,941	95.3	30,205	95.4	30,963	94.3

Comments on Results

We are pleased with the strong results in this area. We have changed a few procedures to improve safety, such as lockdown practices and keeping the building locked. We have requested equipment to improve security, including an intercom system, a lockbar for exiting without leaving the door unlocked, and a wired-in doorbell.

School Improvement

Percent	age	e of	tead	chers	s, pa	arent	s a	nd s	tuc	len	its inc	dicating that t	heir school a	ind school	ols in t	heir	juris	dictio	n hav	e im	prov	ed o	r sta	yed t	the sam	e the	last thr	ее у	ears.					
	School																		Autho	rity									Provin	се				
	2018 2019 2020 2021 2022									20	022	Meas	sure Evaluation	ı	20	18	20	19	20	20	20	21	20)22	2018	3	2019	9	2020)	202	1	2022	.2
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	1 %	6	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	34	87.7	30	84.7	33	87.5	22	2 88	.1 2	24	100.0	Very High	Improved	Excellent	1,166	79.3	934	77.4	1,083	81.1	850	76.7	974	71.1	251,246	80.3	263,364	81.0	262,079	81.5	224,041	81.4	243,980	74.2
Parent	14	92.9	3	*	12	75.0	1	,		1	*	*	*	*	240	78.8	150	73.3	176	76.7	79	68.4	92	56.5	34,237	79.3	34,159	80.3	35,896	80.0	28,016	81.7	30,147	70.0
Student	20	82.5	30	84.7	21	100.0) 22	2 88	.1 2	24	100.0	Very High	Improved	Excellent	741	79.8	617	73.1	714	81.0	600	79.8	718	72.6	185,106	80.2	196,592	79.4	192,917	79.6	167,992	79.1	185,107	76.3
Teacher	4	*	3	*	4	*	3	,		3	*	*	*	*	185	79.5	167	85.6	193	85.5	171	81.9	164	84.1	31,903	81.5	32,613	83.4	33,266	85.0	28,033	83.4	28,726	76.3

Comments on Results

We are pleased with the increased strong results in this area.

High School to Post-secondary Transition Rate

High scho	ol to	o pos	st-se	econo	dary	trar	nsitio	on ra	te o	fstu	udents within	four and six	years of	ente	ring	Grad	e 10																
					Sch	iool												Auth	ority									Provir	nce				
	2	2017	2	018	20	19	20	020	202	21	Meas	sure Evaluation		20	17	20	18	20	19	20	20	20	21	201	7	201	8	201	9	202	.0	202	21
	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Z	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
4 Year Rate	13	0.0	8	12.8	10	0.0	11	0.0	13	0.0	Very Low	Maintained	Concern	284	42.9	249	33.5	261	38.0	250	36.0	246	33.7	44,841	39.4	44,994	40.2	44,980	40.9	45,351	40.5	46,242	41.2
6 Year Rate	5	*	10	31.5	14	7.9	9	11.5	11	0.0	Very Low	Declined	Concern	238	54.6	249	52.6	282	53.5	250	48.2	265	47.7	44,182	59.0	43,728	59.1	44,832	60.3	44,983	60.0	44,966	60.3

Comments on Results

The outreach model is not usually for students seeking post-secondary education, so this result seems normal to us.

Literac	y Data		201	8-19					201	9-20¹				20	20-21	1			202	1-22²			
İ			Familia and Tabal	F	all	Sp	ring	1	5 II	Fa	ıll	Sp	ring	For the section of the section	Fa	III	Spr	ing	Familia and Fadal	Fa	II	Sp	ring
			Enrollment Total	%	#	%	#	7	Enrollment Total	%	#	%	#	Enrollment Total	%	#	%	#	Enrollment Total	%	#	%	#
		Limited		ND	ND	ND	N)		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
	Grade 7	Acceptable		ND	ND	ND	N	0		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Excellence		ND	ND	ND	N	0		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Limited		ND	6	ND	10)		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
	Grade 8	Acceptable	11	ND	2	ND	1			ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
OCA		Excellence		ND	1	ND	0	1		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
Edu-Best		Limited		ND	3	ND	1			100	8	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
	Grade 9	Acceptable	5	ND	0	ND	0	8	8	0	0	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Excellence		ND	0	ND	0			0	0	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Limited		ND	10	ND	16	;		ND	2	ND	ND		85.7	6	83.3	5		83.3	5	ND	ND
	Grade 10	Acceptable	17	ND	2	ND	1	1	2	ND	0	ND	ND	10	14.3	1	16.7	1	16	16.7	1	ND	ND
		Excellence		ND	0	ND	0			ND	0	ND	ND		0.0	0	0.0	0		0.0	0	ND	ND
		Not Yet Meeting Grade Expectations		ND	ND	ND	N)		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
	Grade 11	Approaching Expectations		ND	ND	ND	N)		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Meeting Expectations		ND	ND	ND	N)		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Not Yet Meeting Grade Expectations		ND	ND	ND	N	0		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
	Grade 12	Approaching Expectations		ND	ND	ND	N	O		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
		Meeting Expectations		ND	ND	ND	N	O		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND
Legend:													Foo	otnotes:									
ND: No da	mber - Janu ta collected oruary - June	for the corresponding grade/school							assessment per st all assessments as					D complications g the HLAT assessm	ent fo	r all g	rades	i					

Comments on Results

OCA gives insight to current status for choosing the correct program but is not necessarily accurate.

Num	eracy D	ata	2018-1	19		2019-2	.0		2020-2	1		2021-22		
	•		Enrollment	Fa	II	Enrollment	Fa	II	Enrollment	Fa	II	Enrollment	Fa	all
			Total	%	#	Total	%	#	Total	%	#	Total	%	#
MIPI	Grade 7	Requires Attention	3	ND	1		ND	ND		ND	ND		ND	ND
		May Require Attention		ND	1		ND	ND		ND	ND		ND	ND
		Does Not Require Attention		ND	1		ND	ND		ND	ND		ND	ND
•	Grade 8	Requires Attention	11	60.0	3		ND	ND		ND	ND	3	ND	1
		May Require Attention		20.0	1		ND	ND		ND	ND		ND	0
		Does Not Require Attention		0.0	0		ND	ND		ND	ND		ND	0
	Grade 9	Requires Attention	5	100. 0	4	8	100.0	3	6	ND	2.0	3	ND	2
		May Require Attention		0.0	0		0.0	0		ND	0.0		ND	0
		Does Not Require Attention		25.0	1		0.0	0		ND	0.0		ND	0
	Grade 10	Requires Attention	17	88.9	16	2	50.0	1	10	100.0	5	16	ND	7
		May Require Attention		5.6	1		50.0	1		0.0	0		ND	0
		Does Not Require Attention		0.0	0		0.0	0		0.0	0		ND	0
	Grade 11	Not Yet Meeting Grade Expectations	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		Approaching Expectations	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		Meeting Expectations	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Grade 12	Not Yet Meeting Grade Expectations	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		Approaching Expectations	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
		Meeting Expectations	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
ND: No year	ptember - J	ted for the corresponding grade/school												

Comments on Results

Testing at Grade 10 level is unrealistic because we already know they are years behind. We would prefer being able to test them at a lower level once we know they are below grade and we would want to know what they can do as opposed to what they cannot do. We also know that a different measure of numeracy skill is being sought by the school division's numeracy committee.

Provincial Achievement Test Results – Measure Details

PAT Course by Course Results by Number En	rolled.												
					Result	s (in pe	rcentage	es)				Tar	get
		2 A		20	19	20	20	20	21	20	22	20	22
		Α	E	Α	E	Α	E	Α	E	Α	E	Α	Е
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
English Language Arts 9	Authority	66.0	4.9	59.6	5.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52.9	2.2		
	Province	76.1	14.7	75.1	14.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69.6	12.9		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
K&E English Language Arts 9	Authority	32.1	0.0	47.6	4.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	31.3	0.0		
	Province	55.7	5.9	57.4	5.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50.5	5.0		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Mathematics 9	Authority	51.9	8.2	44.3	6.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	29.8	3.1		
	Province	59.2	15.0	60.0	19.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.0	16.7		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
K&E Mathematics 9	Authority	29.6	7.4	55.6	2.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50.0	0.0		
	Province	57.4	13.6	59.6	13.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55.3	11.1		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Science 9	Authority	69.5	11.5	62.9	17.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	48.2	7.5		
	Province	75.7	24.4	75.2	26.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	68.0	22.6		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
K&E Science 9	Authority	53.3	0.0	59.4	12.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46.7	26.7		
	Province	64.6	12.3	61.7	10.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57.8	11.0		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Social Studies 9	Authority	55.7	8.9	49.2	7.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38.4	5.6		
	Province	66.7	21.5	68.7	20.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.8	17.2		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
K&E Social Studies 9	Authority	25.0	3.6	33.3	9.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33.3	11.1		
	Province	55.2	14.2	55.9	15.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.2	14.1		

PAT Results Course By Course Summary By Enrolled With Measure Evaluation

			Prairie	e View Outrea	ch Scho	ool					Alberta	
		Achievement	Improvement	Overall	20:	22	Prev 3 Yea	ar Average	2022	2	Prev 3 Year A	Average
Course	Measure				Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
English Language Arts O	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	35,521	69.6	47,465	75.1
English Language Arts 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	35,521	12.9	47,465	14.7

KOE English Language Arts O	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	1,310	50.5	1,569	57.4
K&E English Language Arts 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	1,310	5.0	1,569	5.4
Mathamatica	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	32,890	53.0	46,764	60.0
Mathematics 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	32,890	16.7	46,764	19.0
KOE Mallacas alias O	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	1,746	55.3	2,190	59.6
K&E Mathematics 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	1,746	11.1	2,190	13.2
0-1	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	31,215	68.0	47,489	75.2
Science 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	31,215	22.6	47,489	26.4
K&E Science 9	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	1,185	57.8	1,536	61.7
K&E Science 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	1,185	11.0	1,536	10.7
On sigh Oboding O	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	4	*	n/a	n/a	30,108	60.8	47,496	68.7
Social Studies 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	4	*	n/a	n/a	30,108	17.2	47,496	20.6
IXSE Casial Chudiaa O	Acceptable Standard	n/a	1,167	53.2	1,466	55.9						
K&E Social Studies 9	Standard of Excellence	n/a	1,167	14.1	1,466	15.0						

Notes:

- 1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
- 2. "A" = Acceptable; "E" = Excellence the percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.
- 3. Participation in the Provincial Achievement Tests was impacted by the fires in 2018/19, as well as by the COVID-19 pandemic in 2019/20. 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/22 are not included in the rolling 3-year average. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
- 4. Part A, which requires students to complete number-operation questions without using calculators, was added to Mathematics 9 in 2017/18.
- 5. Security breaches occurred over the last few days of the 2021/22 PAT administration window. Students most likely impacted by these security breaches have been excluded from the provincial cohort. All students have been included in school and school authority reporting. Caution should be used when interpreting these results.

Diploma Examination Results – Measure Details

Diploma Exam Course by Course Resu	ults by Students Writing.	T											
				1		ts (in per	centage			1		Tar	get
		20	18	20	19	20	20	20	21	20	22	20	22
		Α	E	Α	E	Α	E	Α	E	Α	E	Α	E
	School	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
English Lang Arts 30-1	Authority	77.5	6.3	84.7	0.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	64.5	3.2		
	Province	87.5	13.2	86.8	12.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.8	9.4		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
English Lang Arts 30-2	Authority	88.9	6.1	83.3	4.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.4	2.7		
	Province	88.0	13.1	87.1	12.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80.8	12.3		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Mathematics 30-1	Authority	66.7	25.0	42.4	5.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	38.1	0.0		
	Province	77.8	35.3	77.8	35.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.6	23.0		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Mathematics 30-2	Authority	55.9	3.4	53.4	5.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	27.1	0.0		
	Province	74.2	16.4	76.5	16.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	61.5	11.8		
	School	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Social Studies 30-1	Authority	73.9	12.5	71.6	3.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66.7	8.3		
	Province	86.2	17.7	86.6	17.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	81.5	15.8		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Social Studies 30-2	Authority	73.0	2.6	63.4	1.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62.5	3.6		
	Province	78.8	12.2	77.8	12.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.5	13.2		
	School	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Biology 30	Authority	76.9	20.0	77.4	13.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	71.1	17.8		
	Province	86.6	36.6	83.9	35.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74.3	25.2		
	School	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Chemistry 30	Authority	68.3	17.1	56.8	8.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	61.8	17.6		
	Province	83.6	38.3	85.7	42.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.1	31.1		
	School	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	*	*	n/a	n/a
Physics 30	Authority	88.5	30.8	45.5	13.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.1	3.1		
	Province	86.2	43.6	87.5	43.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.5	34.6		
	School	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Science 30	Authority	72.7	4.5	80.0	24.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.8	0.0		
	Province	85.4	31.5	85.7	31.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.7	17.2		

Diploma Examination Results Course By Course Summary With Measure Evaluation

			Prairi	e View Outrea	ch Scho	ool					Alberta	
		Achievement	Improvement	Overall	20	22	Prev 3 Yea	ar Average	2022	2	Prev 3 Year A	Average
Course	Measure				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
English Lang Arts 20.4	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	17,372	78.8	29,832	86.8
English Lang Arts 30-1	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	17,372	9.4	29,832	12.3
Facilish Long Arts 20 2	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	8,903	80.8	16,640	87.1
English Lang Arts 30-2	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	8,903	12.3	16,640	12.1
Mathematics 30-1	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	9,102	63.6	19,389	77.8
Mathematics 30-1	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	9,102	23.0	19,389	35.1
Mathamatica 00.0	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	7,872	61.5	14,465	76.5
Mathematics 30-2	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	n/a	n/a	7,872	11.8	14,465	16.8
Social Studies 30-1	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13,811	81.5	21,610	86.6
Social Studies 30-1	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13,811	15.8	21,610	17.0
On sigh Obselling 00 0	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	n/a	n/a	11,131	72.5	20,758	77.8
Social Studies 30-2	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	n/a	n/a	11,131	13.2	20,758	12.2
Dialogu 20	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	n/a	n/a	13,449	74.3	22,442	83.9
Biology 30	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	n/a	n/a	13,449	25.2	22,442	35.5
Chaminton 20	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10,196	77.1	18,525	85.7
Chemistry 30	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10,196	31.1	18,525	42.5
Physics 20	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	5,560	78.5	9,247	87.5
Physics 30	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	1	*	n/a	n/a	5,560	34.6	9,247	43.5
Science 30	Acceptable Standard	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,887	75.7	9,676	85.7
Science 30	Standard of Excellence	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,887	17.2	9,676	31.2

Notes:

- 6. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6. Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
- 7. "A" = Acceptable; "E" = Excellence the percentages achieving the acceptable standard include the percentages achieving the standard of excellence.
- 8. Participation in the Diploma Exams was impacted by the fires in 2018/19, as well as by the COVID-19 pandemic in 2019/20, 2020/21 and the January 2022 Diploma Exam administration. 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/22 are not included in the rolling 3-year average. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
- 9. A written-response component worth 25% of the total exam mark was added to the Mathematics 30-1/30-2 diploma exams in 2018/19.

Comments on Results

The number of students who wrote diploma and provincial achievement exams was below 6 students in 2022, so results were not posted.

DOMAIN 2: TEACHING AND LEADING

Education Quality

Percen	tag	e o	tea	cher	s, p	are	nts	an	d st	ude	ents s	atisfied with	the overall qu	uality of b	asic e	educa	ition.																	
					S	cho	ol												Autho	rity									Provin	ice				
2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Measure Evaluation 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2018 2019 2020 202															1	202	2																	
	Ν	%	S N	1 %	Ν	1 %	6	N	%	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Z	%
Overall	34	94	.0 3 ⁻	1 82.8	3	4 89	.2	22	87.9	24	90.2	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	1,181	87.7	946	84.3	1,086	88.1	876	86.7	994	87.8	254,026	90.0	265,841	90.2	264,623	90.3	230,814	89.6	249,532	89.0
Parent	14	96	.4 3	*	13	2 84	.7	2	*	2	*	*	*	*	252	83.4	157	78.6	177	83.3	90	80.4	96	86.9	35,499	86.0	35,262	86.4	36,907	86.7	31,024	86.7	31,728	86.1
Student	20	91	.7 3°	1 82.8	3 2	2 93	.8	22	87.9	24	90.2	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	743	86.9	622	81.0	716	87.0	604	86.0	721	84.8	185,888	88.2	197,282	88.1	193,763	87.8	169,589	86.3	186,834	85.9
Teache	4	*	3	*	4	. *		3	*	3	*	*	*	*	186	92.9	167	93.3	193	93.9	182	93.7	177	91.7	32,639	95.8	33,297	96.1	33,953	96.4	30,201	95.7	30,970	95.0

Comments on Results

Results are higher than last year so we hope that is the result of our increased efforts to connect with students.

DOMAIN 3: LEARNING SUPPORTS

Welcoming, Caring, Respectful and Safe Learning Environments

The perc	enta	ge o	ftea	cher	s, pa	aren	ts a	nd stu	uder	nts wh	o agree that th	eir learning en	vironmen	its a	e we	elcoi	ming	, car	ing,	resp	ectful	and s	safe.										
	School Authority 2049 2040 2020 2024 2022 2024 2024 2022 2024 202																			Pr	ovince												
																20	18	20	19	20	20	2021		2022	2								
	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	82.0	24	86.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	877	84.7	994	83.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	231,091	87.8	249,941	86.1
Parent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	2	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90	79.0	96	81.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,980	88.2	31,715	86.9
Student	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	82.0	24	86.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	605	79.3	721	74.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	169,900	79.8	187,258	77.7
Teacher	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	182	95.7	177	94.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,211	95.3	30,968	93.6

Comments on Results

Results increased over last year. The outreach model of school appeals to our type of student, so this school environment reflects their needs.

Access to Supports & Services

The perc	enta	ge o	f tea	cher	s, pa	aren	ts a	nd st	uder	nts wh	o agree that st	udents have a	ccess to	the a	appro	pria	te sı	appo	rts a	and s	ervice	es at	schoo	ol.									
	School																	Au	ıthori	ity									Pr	ovince			
	20	18	20	19	20	20	2	021	2	022	Meas	sure Evaluation		20	18	20	19	20	20	20)21	20	22	20	18	20)19	20)20	2021		2022	2
	N	%	Ν	%	Z	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	89.4	24	91.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	876	83.2	992	83.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	230,761	82.6	249,570	81.6
Parent	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	*	2	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90	74.7	96	78.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,936	78.9	31,684	77.4
Student	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	22	89.4	24	91.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	604	84.1	719	81.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	169,631	80.2	186,935	80.1
Teacher	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	182	90.8	177	89.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30,194	88.7	30,951	87.3

Comments on Results

Results increased over last year, and students obviously feel supported. Unfortunately, when illness strikes, no teacher substitutes are available to provide students with assistance from a certified teacher.

Program of Studies - At Risk Students

Percen	Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that programs for children at risk are easy to access and timely. School Authority Province																																
																			Provin	се													
																2018	3	2019	9	2020)	202	1	202	2								
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Achievement	Improvement	Overall	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Overall	34	91.	0 31	88.0	33	86.3	22	89.4	24	91.7	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	1,179	86.4	944	81.3	1,084	86.3	876	83.4	992	84.2	253,515	84.2	265,362	84.7	264,165	84.9	230,686	82.7	249,524	81.9
Parent	14	90.	5 3	*	12	80.6	2	*	2	*	*	*	*	252	79.1	157	72.0	177	78.5	90	72.1	96	78.1	35,439	77.0	35,184	77.8	36,846	78.1	30,874	76.7	31,643	75.3
Student	20	91.	5 31	88.0	21	92.1	22	89.4	24	91.7	Very High	Maintained	Excellent	741	84.7	620	0.08	714	85.5	604	84.1	719	81.6	185,470	81.7	196,933	81.9	193,409	82.2	169,631	80.2	186,935	80.1
Teacher	4	*	3	*	4	*	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	186	95.3	167	91.8	193	95.0	182	93.9	177	92.8	32,606	94.0	33,245	94.5	33,910	94.4	30,181	91.2	30,946	90.3

Comments on Results

Results increased over last year, and students obviously feel supported. We feel the need to improve scheduling of the Learning Support Teacher who is shared with another school.

Inclusion – Pyramid of Intervention

HPSD supports inclusion through a multi-tiered approach. All students have a continuum of needs that can be met, to a varying degree, by differentiated instruction. School-based Learning Support Teachers (LSTs) engage in coaching conversations with staff regarding the ways and means of supporting a differentiated program of studies.

Each HPSD school annually reviews their pyramid of intervention to include:

- the universal, targeted and intensive supports available
- the process by which teachers collaborate to implement strategies [Response to Intervention]
- a display of the pyramid of intervention on Dossier (online) where staff have easy access
- development of a network of supports to enable conversations about the progress of students

A divisional Educational Assistant committee was founded with representatives from each school. The representatives surveyed their school's educational assistants to determine areas of interest to support students and professional development opportunities to reflect local context.

First Nation, Métis, and Inuit Programming (Indigenous Education Coaches)

The Indigenous Education Coach has shifted its focus from a student-centered approach to a Universal Education Model.

The goal of this model is that all students will have a deep understanding of our Canadian history, including Indigenous cultures, perspectives, Treaties and Land agreements, the Legacy of Residential Schools, and the contributions of Indigenous Culture in shaping our Canadian identity.

This model will support all staff in meeting the TQS #5 through monthly professional development sessions designed to build the capacity of our teachers to accurately and confidently deliver Indigenous materials in their classrooms. Moving future generations forward in creating a more inclusive Canada.

This model will allow Indigenous Learners to see themselves reflected in the curriculum. Classroom content across subject areas from Kindergarten to grade 12 will be respectful, authentic and genuine. Often including consultations with Elders and Knowledge Keepers.

The Indigenous Education Team has supported all the schools within the division. Some of the events and activities that took place in the 2021-22 school year included:

All schools received one hour of coach-led professional development each month.

We had Elders, Knowledge Keepers, and presenters come to our school, and we also took advantage of technology by having virtual presentations. Some of these presentations included:

- Storytellers and Indigenous educators joined High School Science classes to discuss the concepts of interconnection and Indigenous worldviews.
- Indigenous author Jessica Johns gave a presentation to High School English students in their poetry units.
- All Indigenous graduates took part in virtual Sacred Feather teachings and Sash teachings.

High School students participated in the virtual Indspire Youth Conference.

High School students met virtually with Indigenous professors and scholars from the University of Calgary as a kick-off to an Indigenous Mentorship Program with the goal of encouraging students to pursue a career in education.

Land-based learning still took place with local Elders in outdoor spaces, whether they were within walking distance from our school or available by bus transportation. Teachings included trapping and hunting, tipi teachings, traditional medicine walks, traditional food gathering and storage, ice fishing, and fish drying and smoking.

Ongoing classroom presentations in all subject areas from Kindergarten to Grade 12 included treaty presentations, Kairos Blanket Exercises, 7 Grandfather Teachings, and medicine wheel teachings.

The Indigenous Education Team started the development of a Google Classroom, which has been in place since the 2021-22 school year. It is filled with resources to support Kindergarten to Grade 12 classrooms in all subject areas.

DOMAIN 4: GOVERNANCE

Satisfaction with Program Access

Percent	age	e of	teac	her,	par	ent a	and	stuc	den	t satis	faction with t	he accessibil	ity, effec	tivene	ss ar	nd ef	ficier	ncy of	prog	rams	s and	ser	vices	for stud	dents	in their	com	munity.					
					Sc	hool												Autho	rity									Provin	се				
	2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Measure Evaluation 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2018 2019 2020 2021 N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N															202	2																
	2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 Measure Evaluation 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 N % N % N % N % N % N % Achievement Improvement Overall N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N % N														%	Z	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%								
Overall	34	71.1	1 31	73.2	34	74.3	21	81.8	3 24	90.4	Very High	Improved	Excellent	1,165	8.08	937	75.6	1,081	83.2	870	81.0	986	83.7	251,836	72.8	263,978	73.1	262,662	75.2	228,281	71.8	247,744	72.6
Parent	14	74.6	3	*	12	61.1	2	*	1	*	*	*	*	243	68.6	152	63.5	173	74.1	87	71.1	93	79.6	34,350	61.2	34,371	61.1	35,963	68.4	29,417	65.7	30,664	67.4
Student	20	67.5	5 31	73.2	22	87.5	21	81.8	3 24	90.4	Very High	Improved	Excellent	737	85.7	618	78.2	714	84.6	601	85.2	716	84.1	184,949	78.9	196,411	78.8	192,861	79.0	168,839	71.9	186,237	73.5
Teacher	4	*	3	*	4	*	3	*	3	*	*	*	*	185	0.88	167	85.0	194	90.8	182	86.7	177	87.5	32,537	78.3	33,196	79.3	33,838	78.1	30,025	77.8	30,843	77.0

Comments on Results

We didn't have enough parents participate in the survey to see their opinion, but notice that students feel strongly satisfied with their program access. We suspect the increased satisfaction is directly related to having our school open for teaching more than in the previous two school years due to lessening of the Covid restrictions.